

## **BEFESO** MANAGEMENT CONSULTANTS

# IS MEGA CASTING THE WAY TO GO?

A cost & carbon study on the advantages and disadvantages of welded automotive body assemblies vs integrated castings COST & VALUE ENGINEERING

INSIGHT

The connected and autonomous vehicle technology roadmap offers significant growth opportunities, yet will add substantially to the baseline cost per car

#### Year 2015 2020 2025 2030 2035 V 2I V 2V V 2P Vehicle-to-environment (v2x) 3D Cloud based navigation Connectivity communication in development 4G 5G Emergency L3-Highway L4-Highway BSD driver assistant Fully auto-Highway assist Intersection pilot nomous Autonomous driving technology traffic jam pilot LDW L4-City (Low speed) L4-City (City speed) features, offering driving & Safety and convenience features maneuvering simplification PDC Parking Valet park assist Automated valet parking Semi assisted assistant valet park Level 5 Level 4 Autonomous driving level 3 Level 3 reached, but level 4 & 5 will Autonomy require significant, additional Level 2 level validation effort Level 1 Level 0 Significant forecast increase in Technology development and fitment technology-related deployment cost per 140 1.000 1.100 3.500 7.000 10.000 vehicle (\$) cost per vehicle

#### Technology innovation drivers

Source: EFESO research

While the costs of technology development are increasing fast, global sales will only increase by a CAGR of  $\sim 1\%$  in the period leading up to 2030



Global light vehicle sales forecast<sup>1)</sup> (CAGR 2021 - 2030 in %, million units)

#### **EFESO Insight**

- In the coming years, the global automotive market will be challenged by significant technological changes combined with slow growth rates
- While market growth is slow, insofar as the switch from ICE towards xEV is concerned, the growing level of autonomous driving provides significant market opportunities in a variety of supply areas (e.g., electric powertrain, safety critical applications, interiors, etc.)
- The key focus for Tier-X suppliers is to carefully plan and manage their future product portfolios to guarantee modularity and scalability, therefore allowing multi-customer applications
- The big challenge is managing the costs of what can be termed the 'commodity business', while planning for innovation in growth areas
- OEMs will not price-in additional costs to consumers, in order to avoid high volume risks – suppliers/OEMs will need to concentrate on efforts to drive down technology costs by 2030

Consequently, automotive firms are urgently re-evaluating their global operating models and most attractive profit 'pools'. Cost share remains a top issue!



Within the overall manufacturing challenge, the automotive body still provides a significant opportunity to reach comparable cost-out maturity

		Vehicle cost distribution	Cost out maturity
	Body	<b>~ 25% ~ 25%</b> <i>"Eody-in-white"</i>	*****
H	Chassis		****
	Electronics (incl. HV-battery)		****
<b> </b>  - <b>-</b> -  <b> </b>	e-Powertrain		****
Σ	Total	100%	****

#### Cost distribution & cost out maturity – electric vehicle

#### Body engineering impact

- Historically, automotive 'Body-in-white' has been a dedicated core competency of OEMs, because of the fundamental systems engineering interfaces
- Long-term capital investment cycles for manufacturing equipment discourage the accommodation of disruptive concept changes
- OEMs rely mostly on internal 'best-of'; benchmarking input for optimized design and material selection
- Besides state-of-the-art industrialization of pressand body-shops, significant complexity, cost and weight are driving efforts to find better alternative engineering solutions (e.g., lightweight metals, carbon structures, modular bolt-on body kits)
- Sustainability requirements (e.g., decarbonization) are now regarded as decision-making criteria

Recent achievements by TESLA raise key questions as to the attractiveness of mega casting production technologies in automotive and other manufacturing industries



#### **Manufacturing cost impact**

→ Will mega casting be able to deliver significant cost savings over and above conventional concepts?



#### **Carbon footprint impact**

→ Will mega casting deliver significant carbon footprint savings over conventional concepts?



### CapEx impact

→ Will production & tooling equipment investments for mega casting offer a competitive pay-back time?



## Life cycle impact

→ Will mega casting offer any particular advantages during the usage and end-of life phases?



#### Automotive industry strategy

→ Will other OEMs follow the TESLA giga casting approach to manufacturing large body parts?



#### Cross-industry adopters

➔ Are there other suitable products & applications which benefit from automotive mega casting innovation?

A comprehensive, competitive cost & CO<sub>2</sub> analysis on the vehicle body engineering concepts employed for the Hyundai IONIQ 5 EV



#### Benchmarking



Tear-down and **BOM** build-up (bottom-up)

Product Production tooling and analysis CapEx\* (bottom-up) evaluation

CO<sub>2</sub>e analysis (bottom-up)

**OEM** validated

<b>Hyundai IONIQ 5</b> Project 45 package   all-wheel drive   72 kWh battery capacity								
General info i	<ul> <li>Platform / trim level:</li> <li>Technical features:</li> <li>Dimensions / weight:</li> <li>Price (2021):</li> </ul>	E-GMP / Project 45 (MY 2021) Single Speed 4WD, 800V, 72kWh, 225kW 4.635 x 1.890 x 1.605 mm / 2.140 kg €59.550						
Vehicle body highlights	<ul> <li>5-star Euro NCAP safety rating, despite comparatively poor performance in pedestrian protection</li> <li>Self-supporting structure in steel-shell design (357kg)</li> <li>No tailored blanks, uses standardized sheet metal grades</li> <li>Reinforced passenger compartment and floor</li> <li>Scalable platform with individual parts</li> <li>Aluminum continuous casting profile in the sills</li> <li>Shell structure made of high-strength steel</li> <li>No spare wheel recess, no bulkhead structure</li> <li>Short version of front crash system</li> <li>Use of plastics in rear crash-management system</li> <li>High material utilization thanks to compact body panels</li> </ul>							

cost

Welded body assembly is well established but offers limited potential for cost savings; mega casting, even at this early stage, shows considerable potential in multiple areas



We have outlined three evaluation scenarios, the aim being to identify and capture key sensitivities and effects in cost and  $CO_2e$  footprint



Mega casting technology offers significant production cost advantages at 100k/a, the aluminium  $CO_2e$  footprint burden estimated at ~ 5 EUR/car additional cost

Manufacturing scenarios	Key learnings		Advantage welded body assembly	Advantage <b>mega casting</b>
	100k/a <b>cost advantage</b> for a <b>mega casting</b> production site in South Korea and best sourcing pipeline for steel subcomponents, produced in China and sent for assembly to South Korea	EUR/ car		44
1 Scenario: Trend	500k/a <b>cost advantage</b> for <b>welded body assembly</b> , due to mass production volume effect, mega casting has only a small effect on price reduction	EUR/ car	13	~ 5 EUR/car* cost burden on mega casting
	<b>PCF</b> advantage for <b>welded body assembly</b> , mainly driven by lower emissions value for materials compared to mega casting. Valid for all scenarios	kg CO <sub>2</sub> e	100	
	100k/a <b>cost advantage</b> for a <b>mega casting</b> production site in South Korea, and higher manufacturing site cost for production site in South Korea compared to China, w/o transportation	EUR/ car		61
2 Scenario: South Korea	500k/a <b>cost advantage</b> for <b>welded body assembly</b> due to mass production volume effect, mega casting has only small effect on price reduction	EUR/ car	7	
	<b>PCF advantage</b> for <b>welded body assembly</b> , mainly driven by lower material value compared to mega casting	kg CO <sub>2</sub> e	130	
	All volume scenarios were calculated with a Scenario 2 process landscape and optimized cost for	EUR/ car		60
3 Scenario: Green	500k/a cost advantage for welded body assembly	EUR/ car	12	
	<b>PCF advantage</b> for <b>welded body assembly</b> , mainly driven by lower material value compared to mega casting	kg CO <sub>2</sub> e	60	

The complex supply industry for welded body assembly is already well established, but substantial initial investments will be necessary to introduce mega casting



Both technologies will be further optimized, in terms of materials and processes, in the future.

